

EXHIBIT 695

## Fwd: Papers for discussion on Wednesday

---

**From:** Trevor Sadler [REDACTED]  
**To:** Stephen Stathis [REDACTED]  
**Date:** Thu, 11 Apr 2013 12:07:03 +1000  
**Attachments:** INTRODUCTION.docx (27.37 kB); APPENDIX A.docx (14.85 kB); Implications for combined units.docx (15.18 kB); SEEKING AN EVIDENCE BASE TO DETERMINE THE REQUIREMENTS FOR RESIDENTIAL CARE.docx (31.3 kB)

---

Dear Stephen,

Many thanks for taking the time for our discussion.

I'm forwarding on the analysis of bed data. I'll send the raw data from home, so you can verify it if you wish. I'll also send you the data about distribution of adolescent populations.

I've also attached a document sent to the ECRG about the need for inpatient beds.

Kind regards,

Trevor

>>> "Trevor Sadler" [REDACTED] 4/8/2013 3:19 am >>>  
Dear Colleagues,

At our last meeting, I promised to help with the introduction. It is attached. I wrote it bearing in mind

- some in the planning group, in the Health and Hospital Service Board or even the Minister's office may not be aware of the structure of the CYMHS network in the state.
- It has to describe the current unit in enough detail to allow some assessment of the level of service any alternate proposal would have.

We are aware that in proposing a contemporary model which includes inpatient beds, we are then faced with the dilemma of how these beds may be provided. One of the options proposed is to use blocks of beds in existing acute inpatient units. I have analysed the data on this (Appendix A), and discussed the implications in a separate paper. I assume that it is part of our role to outline the risks of each proposal.

Kind regards,

Trevor