





















the panel, but we wanted to make it known to the young people should it be somewhere that the young people found it difficult to consider or comprehend the placement that they still had somebody to identify with and feel safe with, and that was the CCs or associate CCs.<sup>26</sup>

45 Ms Clayworth gave further evidence in cross examination with Ms McMillan QC to similar effect.<sup>27</sup>

46 It is not suggested that there is a conflict in evidence that needs to be resolved. Rather, a more balanced summary of the evidence on the matter could be represented, demonstrating that the care coordinators had a peripheral relationship with the transition panel established after the closure announcement. That while the care coordinators were integral to implementing the transition plans, they were in effect kept out of the decision making for pragmatic and clinically sound reasons – as accepted by Ms Macleod in oral evidence.<sup>28</sup>

### **Conclusion**

47 The evidence of the nurses put before the Commission in statutory declarations and at the hearing speaks for itself.

48 The nurses are largely content with the representation of the facts in the submissions of Counsel Assisting. These submissions address specific matters that arise with respect to the submissions of Counsel Assisting or with respect to discrete evidentiary issues.

Sally Robb  
Counsel for the nurses

23 March 2016

---

<sup>26</sup> T22-52 I36 – T22-53 I2.

<sup>27</sup> T22-80 I33 – T22-81 I10.

<sup>28</sup> T21-17 I12-14.